

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED IN		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/721,256	11/26/2003	Yoshihisa Ura	8215.135 6135		
7590 02/24/2005			EXAMINER		
LINIAK, BERENATO & WHITE			O MALLEY, KATHRYN S		
Suite 240 6550 Rock Spring Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bethesda, MD 20817			3749		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/721,25		URA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kathryn S	O'Mallev	3749				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicate				idress			
Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. ys, a reply within the state y period will apply and wi by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>02 December 2</i> 0	004.					
·								
'=								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9,11,13,14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
6)⊠								
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,10,12 and 15</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-station Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC		5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	•	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/721,256 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Affidavit filed on 2 December 2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Saida reference.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 2 December 2004 regarding the functional language limitations in claim 1 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please note the comments regarding functional language in the following rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 11, 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,761,824 to Moon et al.
- 5. Moon et al. teaches a moisturizing hairdressing apparatus comprising an applicator head 10 for generating and impinging steam and negative ions and having a downwardly slanting liquid reservoir formed by ring 34; and handpiece 12 movably attached to unit 10 having an operating unit with switch 18, a control panel (displaying

Art Unit: 3749

the operating status- such as on/off- corresponding to the position of switch 18), and a heater, such that switch 18 activates the heater and provides heated air to unit 10 such that a combination of steam and ions are generated and injected. Note column 4, lines 15-53 and Figures 1 and 3. Regarding claims 1 and 2, Moon et al. does not teach a device having the operating options claimed. However, Applicant is reminded that functional language is given little patentable weight beyond the recitation of structure to carry out the function. As the device taught by Moon et al. has switching mechanism 18, it is determined that this meets the claimed structure limitations.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smetana, Chang, Wong, and Bonzi teach similar steam and/or ion injecting units.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (571)272-4879. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,256 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KSO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700